

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11
12 Idowu Olukoya,

13 Petitioner,

No. Civ. S 05-0495 FCD PAN PS

14 vs.

15 Immigration and Naturalization Service,

16 Respondent.

ORDER

17 _____/
18 Petitioner, proceeding without counsel, filed a request for adjudication on a
19 naturalization petition pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1447. The matter was referred to a United States
20 Magistrate Judge.

21 On November 23, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
22 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
23 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Respondent
24 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

25 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
26 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire

1 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
2 proper analysis.¹

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 23, 2005, are adopted in
5 full; and

6 2. Respondent's June 24, 2005, motion to dismiss is denied. Respondent must
7 file and serve a response to petitioner's request for adjudication within 30 days, attaching thereto
8 copies of relevant agency documents.

9 DATED: December 20, 2005

10
11 /s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr.
12 FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22
23 ¹ In its objections, respondent alternatively moves to dismiss on the ground that any
24 further consideration of petitioner's naturalization application is "futile" because petitioner is
25 statutorily barred from naturalization as an alien convicted of an aggravated felony. The court
26 does not consider said argument herein as it was not raised by respondent in its motion to
dismiss; rather respondent moved to dismiss on the sole ground of "mootness" based on the CIS'
denial of petitioner's naturalization application on June 15, 2005. That is the only issue
considered by the magistrate judge in the F&R, and accordingly, the only issue reviewed by the
undersigned.